

**CITY OF GROSSE POINTE WOODS  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY  
20025 Mack Plaza, Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236**

***JOHN G. KOSANKE, DIRECTOR***  
***(313) 343-2420***

---

The information contained in this communication is privileged and confidential and is intended solely for the use of the individuals to whom this communication is directed. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return this communication to us via the United States Postal Service.

---

Date: 9-1-16

To: Richard

Fax: 1-866-237-1602

From: A.C.O. Debbie Reed

Total number of pages sent (including this cover sheet): 2

FAX # (313) 343-2439

Date 9/1/16

The Honorable Lee Chatfield  
Chair House Local Government Committee  
Anderson House Office Building  
Post Office Box 30014  
Lansing, MI 48909-7514

Dear Chairman Chatfield:

I am writing to ask for your support of Senate Bill 239 by voting for the bill in the House Local Government Committee, on the House floor, and by encouraging your colleagues to do the same. The bill has already passed the Senate with overwhelming, bipartisan vote. It is our understanding that a hearing on the bill is scheduled for September 7, 2016 before your committee.

SB 239 would prohibit local units of government from arbitrarily creating ordinances that infringe upon personal property rights – more specifically, what breed of dog an individual can own.

Opponents will also tell you that Senate Bill 239 eliminates local control. The truth is that local units of government have, and should, work to pass ordinances that hold the owner of any pet responsible for the conduct of that pet – *regardless of breed*. Further, breed specific ordinances require local units of government, already strapped for money, to dedicate resources to enforcement. This is unnecessarily onerous and is undoubtedly ineffective.

Finally, especially in more urban areas, a law-abiding citizen walking a well-trained dog could inadvertently cross one local unit boundary and enter another – unknowingly commit a crime, be fined, and have their dog seized or worse.

Simply put – breed specific ordinances at the local level are ineffective, costly, and distract from where true attention and resources should be focused, on the enforcement of laws and ordinances that hold pet owners accountable for the pet's behavior – regardless of breed.

Thank you, and again – please support SB 239.

Sincerely,

A.C.O. Dusky & Reed